Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,887	CHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mehmet B. Geckil	2142	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Mehmet B. Geckil.	(3)		
(2) <u>Bruce Clay</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 May 2005.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>10 and 24-31</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner called the attorney to point out that upon the recently changes in USPTO policy regarding 101 issues, claims 10 and 24-31 has to amended in order to put the application in condition of allowance. Attorney authorized examiner to amend the claims via Examiner's Amendment.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		HMET B. GECKIL MARY EXAMINER	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature if required